



US010251643B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Moskowitz et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,251,643 B2**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Apr. 9, 2019**

(54) **BI-DIRECTIONAL FIXATING  
TRANSVERTEBRAL BODY SCREWS,  
ZERO-PROFILE HORIZONTAL  
INTERVERTEBRAL MINIPLATES,  
EXPANSILE INTERVERTEBRAL BODY  
FUSION DEVICES, AND POSTERIOR  
MOTION-CALIBRATING  
INTERARTICULATING JOINT STAPLING  
DEVICE FOR SPINAL FUSION**

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... *A61B 17/0642* (2013.01); *A61B 17/0643*  
(2013.01); *A61B 17/0682* (2013.01);  
(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
CPC ..... *A61B 17/0642*; *A61B 17/0643*; *A61B*  
*17/0682*; *A61B 17/7064*;  
(Continued)

(71) Applicant: **Moskowitz Family LLC**, Rockville,  
MD (US)

(72) Inventors: **Mosheh T. Moskowitz**, Rockville, MD  
(US); **Nathan C. Moskowitz**,  
Rockville, MD (US)

(73) Assignee: **Moskowitz Family LLC**, Rockville,  
MD (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this  
patent is extended or adjusted under 35  
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-  
claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **15/934,622**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 23, 2018**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2018/0206839 A1 Jul. 26, 2018

#### **Related U.S. Application Data**

(60) Continuation of application No. 13/093,812, filed on  
Apr. 25, 2011, now Pat. No. 9,924,940, which is a  
(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
*A61B 17/064* (2006.01)  
*A61B 17/068* (2006.01)  
(Continued)

#### (56) **References Cited**

##### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

4,554,914 A \* 11/1985 Kapp ..... *A61B 17/7068*  
606/247  
4,599,086 A 7/1986 Doty  
(Continued)

##### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

FR 2727003 5/1996  
WO WO 2004/093749 11/2004  
WO WO 2006/091503 8/2006

##### **OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Vincent C. Traynelis, "Prosthetics and Biologics: The Wave of the  
Future," *Clinical Neurosurgery*, vol. 50, Proceedings of the Con-  
gress of Neurological Surgeons, Philadelphia, PA 2002, Chapter 9,  
pp. 207-219.

(Continued)


*Primary Examiner* — Pedro Philogene

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Fish & Richardson P.C.

#### (57) **ABSTRACT**

An apparatus and method for joining members together  
using a self-drilling screw apparatus or stapling apparatus  
are disclosed. The screw apparatus includes a shell and first  
and second first screw members having tapered ends and  
threaded bodies that are disposed within the shell. A drive  
mechanism rotatably drives the first and second screw  
members from the shell in opposite directions and causes the  
screw members to embed themselves in the members to be

(Continued)



**Globus Medical**  
**Exhibit 1001**

joined. The screw apparatus can be used to join members such as bones, portions of the spinal column, vertebral bodies, wood, building materials, metals, masonry, or plastics. The stapling apparatus includes first and second lever arms rotatably joined together at a fulcrum, and the lever arms rotate in opposite directions. First and second cartridges are disposed at the ends of the lever arms. Each cartridge is capable of holding a staple including a bracket, a nail member and an alignment slot. When the ends of the lever arms are rotated towards each other the staples from the cartridges are interlocked. The staples can be also be used to join members such as bones, portions of the spinal column, or vertebral bodies.

### 25 Claims, 25 Drawing Sheets

### Related U.S. Application Data

continuation of application No. 12/347,990, filed on Dec. 31, 2008, now Pat. No. 7,951,180, which is a division of application No. 11/208,644, filed on Aug. 23, 2005, now Pat. No. 7,704,279.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/670,231, filed on Apr. 12, 2005.

### (51) Int. Cl.

*A61B 17/70* (2006.01)

*A61F 2/28* (2006.01)

*A61F 2/30* (2006.01)

*A61F 2/44* (2006.01)

### (52) U.S. Cl.

CPC ..... *A61B 17/7064* (2013.01); *A61F 2/4455* (2013.01); *A61B 2017/0648* (2013.01); *A61F 2002/2835* (2013.01); *A61F 2002/3052* (2013.01); *A61F 2002/3085* (2013.01); *A61F 2002/30525* (2013.01); *A61F 2002/30579* (2013.01); *A61F 2002/30841* (2013.01); *A61F 2002/448* (2013.01); *A61F 2220/0025* (2013.01); *A61F 2310/00796* (2013.01)

### (58) Field of Classification Search

CPC ..... *A61B 2017/0648*; *A61F 2/4455*; *A61F 2002/2835*; *A61F 2002/3052*; *A61F 2002/30525*; *A61F 2002/30579*; *A61F 2002/30841*; *A61F 2002/3085*; *A61F 2002/448*; *A61F 2220/0025*; *A61F 2310/00796*

USPC ..... 623/17.11–17.16; 606/246–289, 606/300–328, 86 R

See application file for complete search history.

### (56) References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,636,217 A \* 1/1987 Ogilvie ..... A61F 2/44 606/247  
4,960,420 A 10/1990 Goble et al.  
4,994,063 A 2/1991 Gamer  
4,997,432 A 3/1991 Keller  
5,062,850 A \* 11/1991 MacMillan ..... A61F 2/44 606/247  
5,123,926 A 6/1992 Pisharodi  
5,290,312 A 3/1994 Kojimoto et al.  
5,514,180 A 5/1996 Heggeness et al.  
5,572,653 A 11/1996 DeTemple et al.  
5,660,188 A 8/1997 Groiso

5,667,472 A 9/1997 Finn et al.  
5,669,912 A 9/1997 Spetzler  
5,722,976 A 3/1998 Brown  
5,782,832 A 7/1998 Larsen et al.  
5,800,547 A \* 9/1998 Schafer ..... A61F 2/442 623/17.16  
5,960,522 A 10/1999 Boe  
6,106,556 A 8/2000 Demopulos et al.  
6,126,689 A 10/2000 Brett  
6,224,602 B1 5/2001 Hayes  
6,235,034 B1 5/2001 Bray  
6,322,562 B1 11/2001 Wolter  
6,342,074 B1 1/2002 Simpson  
6,368,350 B1 4/2002 Erickson et al.  
6,375,682 B1 4/2002 Fleischmann et al.  
6,419,704 B1 7/2002 Ferree  
6,454,807 B1 9/2002 Jackson  
6,458,159 B1 10/2002 Thalgot  
6,527,804 B1 3/2003 Gauchet et al.  
6,533,818 B1 3/2003 Weber et al.  
6,579,318 B2 6/2003 Varga et al.  
6,582,468 B1 6/2003 Gauchet  
6,629,998 B1 10/2003 Lin  
6,641,614 B1 11/2003 Wagner et al.  
6,716,247 B2 4/2004 Michelson  
6,719,794 B2 4/2004 Gerber  
6,723,126 B1 \* 4/2004 Berry ..... A61F 2/4455 606/247  
6,733,532 B1 5/2004 Gauchet et al.  
6,764,491 B2 7/2004 Frey et al.  
6,770,094 B2 8/2004 Fehling et al.  
6,890,355 B2 5/2005 Michelson  
6,904,308 B2 6/2005 Frisch et al.  
6,953,477 B2 10/2005 Berry  
6,955,671 B2 10/2005 Uchikubo  
7,028,878 B2 4/2006 Bauer  
7,030,904 B2 4/2006 Adair et al.  
7,037,258 B2 5/2006 Chatenever et al.  
7,097,615 B2 8/2006 Banik et al.  
7,211,112 B2 5/2007 Baynham et al.  
7,232,464 B2 6/2007 Mathieu et al.  
7,238,203 B2 7/2007 Bagga et al.  
7,442,209 B2 10/2008 Michelson  
7,704,279 B2 4/2010 Moskowitz et al.  
7,776,047 B2 8/2010 Fanger et al.  
8,268,000 B2 9/2012 Waugh et al.  
9,924,940 B2 \* 3/2018 Moskowitz ..... A61B 17/0642  
2002/0068977 A1 6/2002 Jackson  
2002/0143338 A1 10/2002 Othay et al.  
2004/0088054 A1 5/2004 Berry  
2004/0177531 A1 9/2004 DiBenedetto et al.  
2004/0186569 A1 9/2004 Berry  
2004/0254644 A1 12/2004 Taylor  
2005/0027362 A1 2/2005 Williams et al.  
2005/0049590 A1 \* 3/2005 Alleyne ..... A61F 2/442 623/17.11  
2005/0177235 A1 8/2005 Baynham et al.  
2005/0216084 A1 9/2005 Fleischmann et al.  
2005/0256576 A1 11/2005 Moskowitz et al.  
2005/0261769 A1 11/2005 Moskowitz et al.  
2005/0273170 A1 12/2005 Navarro et al.  
2005/0278026 A1 12/2005 Gordon et al.  
2006/0241621 A1 10/2006 Moskowitz et al.  
2007/0049943 A1 3/2007 Moskowitz et al.  
2007/0167678 A1 7/2007 Moskowitz et al.  
2007/0198089 A1 8/2007 Moskowitz et al.  
2007/0213820 A1 9/2007 Magerl et al.  
2007/0250172 A1 10/2007 Moskowitz et al.  
2008/0033440 A1 2/2008 Moskowitz et al.  
2008/0177307 A1 7/2008 Moskowitz et al.  
2008/0281424 A1 11/2008 Parry et al.  
2009/0105831 A1 4/2009 Jones et al.  
2009/0112271 A1 4/2009 Moskowitz et al.  
2009/0224023 A1 9/2009 Moskowitz et al.  
2009/0234455 A1 9/2009 Moskowitz et al.  
2010/0145460 A1 6/2010 McDonough et al.  
2010/0324606 A1 12/2010 Moskowitz et al.  
2011/0125269 A1 5/2011 Moskowitz et al.  
2011/0137349 A1 6/2011 Moskowitz et al.

(56)

**References Cited**

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2011/0178600 A1 7/2011 Moskowitz et al.  
 2011/0208312 A1 8/2011 Moskowitz et al.  
 2011/0288646 A1 11/2011 Moskowitz et al.  
 2011/0295327 A1 12/2011 Moskowitz et al.  
 2011/0295371 A1 12/2011 Moskowitz et al.  
 2011/0307011 A1 12/2011 Moskowitz et al.  
 2011/0319935 A1 12/2011 Moskowitz et al.  
 2012/0010714 A1 1/2012 Moskowitz et al.  
 2012/0330419 A1 12/2012 Moskowitz et al.  
 2013/0018468 A1 1/2013 Moskowitz et al.  
 2013/0018469 A1 1/2013 Moskowitz et al.  
 2013/0018470 A1 1/2013 Moskowitz et al.  
 2013/0023992 A1 1/2013 Moskowitz et al.  
 2013/0053962 A1 2/2013 Moskowitz et al.  
 2013/0173002 A1 7/2013 Moskowitz et al.  
 2013/0282017 A1 10/2013 Moskowitz et al.  
 2014/0249629 A1 9/2014 Moskowitz et al.  
 2015/0025637 A1 1/2015 Moskowitz et al.  
 2015/0105824 A1 4/2015 Moskowitz et al.

2015/0148847 A1 5/2015 Moskowitz et al.  
 2016/0374830 A1 12/2016 Moskowitz et al.  
 2017/0252178 A1 9/2017 Moskowitz et al.

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

E.K. Wai et al., "Disk Replacement Arthroplasties: Can the Success of Hip and Knee Replacements be Repeated in the Spine?," *Seminars in Spine Surgery*, vol. 15, No. 4 Dec. 2003, pp. 473-482.  
 Richard D. Guyer et al., "Intervertebral Disc Prostheses," *Spine Journal*, vol. 28, No. 15S, Supp. to Aug. 1, 2003, pp. S15-S23.  
 International Search Report (ISR) and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, Dec. 3, 2007, International Application No. PCT/US 07/05005.  
 International Search Report (ISR and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, May 21, 2008, International Application No. PC /US2007/021015.  
 International Search Report (ISR and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, Jul. 9, 2008, International Application No. PC /US2007021013.

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B





FIG. 1C



**FIG. 1D**

200




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B




FIG. 2C




FIG. 2D

FIG. 2E




FIG. 2F

FIG. 2G






FIG. 3A





FIG. 3B






FIG. 3E




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 4C




FIG. 5B






FIG. 5A






FIG. 6B




FIG. 6A




FIG. 6C






FIG. 6D

FIG. 6E





FIG. 6F




FIG. 6G




FIG. 6I





FIG. 6H

600'

**FIG. 7A**









FIG. 7B




**FIG. 8C**




**FIG. 8B**





**FIG. 8A**





**FIG. 8E**



**FIG. 8D**




**FIG. 8G**



**FIG. 8F**




**FIG. 8H**




**FIG. 8I**


**FIG. 8J**




**FIG. 8K**



**FIG. 8L**








FIG. 8R

FIG. 8Q

1

**BI-DIRECTIONAL FIXATING  
TRANSVERTEBRAL BODY SCREWS,  
ZERO-PROFILE HORIZONTAL  
INTERVERTEBRAL MINIPLATES,  
EXPANSILE INTERVERTEBRAL BODY  
FUSION DEVICES, AND POSTERIOR  
MOTION-CALIBRATING  
INTERARTICULATING JOINT STAPLING  
DEVICE FOR SPINAL FUSION**

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED  
APPLICATIONS

The present application is a Continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/093,812, filed Apr. 25, 2011, which is a Continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 12/347,990, filed Dec. 31, 2008 (now U.S. Pat. No. 7,951,180), which is a Divisional of U.S. application Ser. No. 11/208,644, filed Aug. 23, 2005 (now U.S. Pat. No. 7,704,279), which claims the benefit of Provisional Application No. 60/670,231, filed on Apr. 12, 2005, the entire contents of which is incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention relates to bi-directional fixating transvertebral (BDFT) screws which can be used to supplement other intervertebral spacers and/or bone fusion materials. BDFT screws can be incorporated into anterior and/or posterior cervical and lumbosacral novel zero-profile horizontal and triangular intervertebral mini-plates. In addition BDFT screws can be incorporated into two dimensional, expansile intervertebral body fusion devices (IBFDs) transforming them into stand-alone posteriorly and/or anteriorly placed cervical, thoracic and lumbar spinal fusion devices. In the lumbosacral and thoracic spine BDFT screws may obviate the need for supplemental pedicle screw fixation. In the cervical spine it obviates the need for supplemental vertically oriented anterior plating. The present invention also relates to a stand-alone or supplemental, calibrating interarticular joint stapling device which can incrementally fine-tune posterior interarticular joint motion.

DESCRIPTION OF THE RELEVANT ART

Segmental spinal fusions which stabilize two or more adjacent segments of the spine are performed for painful degenerative disc disease, recurrent disc herniations, spinal stenosis, spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis. Over the past several decades a wide variety of fusion techniques and instrumentation have evolved. One of the earliest posterior fusion techniques entails non-instrumented in-situ on-lay posteriolateral fusion utilizing autologous iliac crest bone. Because of the high rate of imperfect fusions i.e. pseudoarthroses, transpedicular pedicle screw fixation which utilizes a variety of rods and interconnectors were developed to achieve less interbody motion and hence higher fusion rates. Pedicle screw fixation was initially combined with on-lay posteriolateral fusion. Because of the poor blood supply of the transverse processes, issues still remained with pseudoarthroses. In an attempt to address this problem, pedicle screw fixation has been supplemented with a variety of interbody fusion devices. This is based on the concept that axial loading enhances fusion and that the vertebral endplates have a better blood supply. Interbody lumbar fusion devices can be placed anteriorly via an anterior lumbar interbody fusion technique (ALIF) or posteriorly via a

2

posterior lumbar interbody fusion technique (PLIF). Material options for interbody fusion devices have included autologous iliac crest/laminar bone, cylindrical threaded titanium interbody cages, cylindrical threaded cortical bone dowels, vertebral interbody rings or boxes, carbon fiber cages, or femoral ring allograft. To lessen the complication of prolonged nerve root retraction the technique of circumferential transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion technique (TLIF) has been introduced. This employs the transforaminal placement of an interbody spacer such as one kidney bean shaped allograft, two circular allografts, one or two titanium circular cages, a single titanium or Peek (poly-ether-ketone) boomerang spacer. The threaded spacers are usually supplemented with autologous bone and/or bone morphogenic protein (BMP), demineralized bone matrix (DBM) in the form of paste or cement, rh-BMP with collagen sponges, or similar osteoinductive biological agents which are known to enhance fusion.

Currently all lumbosacral fusion techniques, ALIF, PLIF and TLIF, are typically supplemented by pedicle screw placement. In addition posterior transfacet screws also have been used to supplement ALIF procedures. Complications of pedicle screw placement include duration of procedure, significant tissue dissection and muscle retraction, misplaced screws with neural and/or vascular injury, excessive blood loss, need for transfusions, prolonged recovery, incomplete return to work, excess rigidity leading to adjacent segmental disease requiring further fusions and re-operations. Further advances of pedicle screw fixation including minimally invasive and image-guided technology, and the development of flexible rods have imperfectly addressed some but not all of these issues. Transfacet screws entail the use of long screws which provide a static facet alignment without motion calibration.

Complications of all current interbody fusion devices is their lack of coverage of the majority of the cross sectional area of the vertebral endplates and their potential for extrusion. The recently described flexible fusion system which consists of flexible rods attached to transpedicular screws (Dionysis, Zimmer) suffers from a high pull-out rate, higher rate of re-operation than standard fusions, and does not rank high with patient satisfaction. See for example, Clinical experience with the Dynesys semirigid fixation system for the lumbar spine: Surgical and patient-oriented outcome in 50 cases after an average of 2 years; D. Grob, A. Benini and A. F. Mannion. Spine Volume 30, number 3, Feb. 1, 2005.

Single or multiple level anterior cervical spinal fusions typically employ the replacement of the cervical disc or discs with autologous or allograft bone, or an intervertebral spacer filled with autologous or allograft bone, demineralized bone matrix, BMP or rh-BMP etc. Currently these anterior cervical fusions are augmented with anterior vertical titanium plates which cross the intervertebral space or spaces and are secured to the vertebral bodies above and below the disc space or spaces with perpendicularly penetrating vertebral body screws. The purpose of these plates is to serve as a barrier to prevent extrusion of the intervertebral disc replacement. Recently anterior vertical plating has also been employed in anterior lumbar fusion.

Complications of anterior spinal plating include the potential for neurovascular injury with screw misplacement, screw and/or plate pull-out, and screw and/or plate breakage. Other complications include potential esophageal compression/injury in the cervical spine secondary to high plate profile or pullout, and to potential devastating vascular injury in the lumbar spine with plate movement and/or dislodgement into anterior iliac vasculature. Recent

advances in cervical plating have therefore concentrated on the creation of lower profile plates and even resorbable plates. These advances, however, have not eliminated the possibility of plate dislodgement and screw back out/breakage.

To achieve segmental fusion applicants propose the use of novel bidirectional fixating transvertebral (BDFT) screws which can be strategically inserted via anterior or posterior surgical spinal approaches into the anterior and middle columns of the intervertebral disc space. The BDFT mechanism employs turning one or two pinions which then turns one or two central gears which in turn simultaneously controls expansile movement of right and left-handed bidirectional screws. The vertebral bodies above and below the disc space by virtue of their engagement and penetration by the BDFT screws are thus linked and eventually fused. The casings of the BDFT screws prevent vertebral body subsidence. The inside of the denuded intervertebral space can then be packed with autologous or allograft bone, BMP, DBX or similar osteoinductive material. Alternatively an intervertebral spacer filled with either of these substances can be inserted.

Applicants postulate that BDFT screws provide as strong or stronger segmental fusion as pedicle screws without the complications arising from pedicle screw placement which include screw misplacement with potential nerve and/or vascular injury, violation of some healthy facets, possible pedicle destruction and blood loss. By placing screws across the intervertebral space from vertebral body to vertebral body engaging anterior and middle spinal columns, and not into the vertebral bodies via the transpedicular route, some of the healthy facet joints are preserved. Because this technique accomplishes both anterior and middle column fusion, without rigidly fixing the posterior column, it in essence creates a flexible fusion. This device therefore is a flexible fusion device because the preserved posterior joints retain their function achieving at least a modicum of mobility and hence a less rigid (flexible) fusion.

The very advantage of trans-pedicular screws which facilitate a strong solid fusion by rigidly engaging all three spinal columns (anterior, middle and posterior), is the same mechanical mechanism whereby complete inflexibility of all columns is incurred thereby leading to increasing rostral and caudal segmental stress which leads to an increased rate of re-operation.

Transvertebral fusion also leads to far less muscle retraction, blood loss, and significant reduction in O.R. time. Thus the complication of pedicular screw pull-out and hence high re-operation rate associated with the current embodiment of flexible fusion pedicle screws/rods is obviated. The lumbar BDFT screws can be introduced via PLIF, TLIF or ALIF operative techniques. Although one can opt to supplement these screws with transpedicular screws there would be no absolute need for supplemental pedicle screw fixation with these operative techniques.

Bi-directional fixating transvertebral (BDFT) screws can also be combined with novel zero-profile horizontal cervical and lumbar mini-plates. They can also be combined with mini-plates and a cage with slots for bone material insertion. Thus this is in essence a three-in-one device; 1) cage which can be filled with bone, 2) a plate and 3) BDFT screws.

For the performance of anterior cervical, and lumbar anterior or posterior fusions one or two centrally placed BDFT screws anterior to an intervertebral graft or spacer, may be a sufficient barrier by itself to prevent device/graft extrusion. However, to further safeguard against graft/spacer

plates which can be incorporated into two anteriorly placed BDFT screws, as well as a linear triangulating mini-plate which can be incorporated into two anteriorly placed, and one posteriorly placed BDFT screws. The horizontal linear mini-plates or horizontal triangular mini-plate traverse the diameter of the disc space and most of the disc space height. Thus a horizontal mini-plate placed anteriorly immediately beneath the rostra! and caudal ventral vertebral body surfaces which is secured by BDFT screws which are also beneath the vertebral body surfaces, would prevent intervertebral device/graft extrusion. This mini-plate is essentially a zero- to subzero-profile plate in that it is either flush with the vertebral body surfaces or below them.

Because the BDFT screws engage a small percentage of the rostra! and caudal vertebral bodies, this plating system could be performed at multiple levels. This plating system which utilizes BDFT screws does not lead to any esophageal compression or injury in the cervical spine or vascular iliac vein injury in the lumbar spine. For the performance of two or three level intervertebral fusion with horizontal mini-plates there is virtually no possibility of plate breakage which can occur in long vertical anterior plates which are in current usage. Similarly, screw dislodgement, if it occurs would lead to minimal esophageal compression or injury compared to large vertical plate/screw dislodgement. In addition, in the cervical spine BDFT screw placement closer to the midline would avert any possibility of lateral neural or vertebral artery injury.

In copending PCT Patent Application PCT/US2005/016493, filed May 11, 2005, the entire contents of which are incorporated by reference, applicants developed an interbody expansile artificial disc device composed of an inner core artificial disc surrounded by expansile titanium shells with spikes which can expand in two or three dimensions. In yet another embodiment of tranvertebral fixation applicants propose a novel cervical and thoracic/lumbosacral intervertebral fusion device (IBFD) which combines the expansile titanium or PEEK shells or our previous artificial disc design with BDFT screws which can be inserted into the disc space.

Yet another embodiment incorporates a core expansile elastometric porous balloon sheath vulcanized to the expandable external shells which can then be filled with bone fusion material. Balloon porosity would allow fusion to occur from vertebral endplate to endplate. Bony material can be injected into this porous balloon through a port directly or through a silastic catheter (see previous patent).

If one were inclined to further enhance posterior column thoracolumbosacral fixation, applicants introduce an optional novel calibrated facet stapling device which staples the inferior articulating facet of the superior segment to the superior articulating facet of the caudal vertebral segment unilaterally or bilaterally, further minimizing motion until interbody fusion occurs. The degree of flexibility can be further modulated by varying the calibration strength and torque of facet stapling. This would be dictated by the need for greater or lesser degrees of motion preservation.

Currently, failed anterior lumbar arthroplasties are salvaged by combined anterior and posterior fusions. BDFT screws and/or IBFDs could be utilized as a one-step salvage operation for failed/extruded anteriorly placed lumbar artificial discs obviating the above salvage procedures which have greater morbidity. Likewise, for anterior cervical fusion, applying cervical BDFT screws alone or in combination with cervical mini-plates addresses the deficiencies and complications of current cervical plating technology as mentioned above.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIGS. 1A-D illustrate three-dimensional and cross-sectional views of the BDFT screw and its mechanism of operation (Embodiment I).

FIGS. 2A-G illustrate three-dimensional and cross-sectional views of the BDFT screw and its mechanism of operation (Embodiment II).

FIGS. 3A-E illustrate three dimensional, cross-sectional and exploded views of the BDFT screw and its mechanism of operation (Embodiment III).

FIGS. 4A-C illustrate a single or three BDFT screws inserted into adjacent vertebral bodies.

FIGS. 5A and 5B illustrate three-dimensional views of the zero-profile linear mini-plate.

FIGS. 5C and 5D illustrate the integration of BDFT screws in the zero-profile linear mini-plate.

FIGS. 6A through 6G illustrate different views of the zero-profile triangular mini-plate, its integration with BDFT screws and incorporation into the vertebral bodies.

FIGS. 6H and 6I illustrate different views of the three-in-one device combining a zero-profile horizontal mini-plate, a cage with incorporated slots for the placement of bone material, and BDFT screws

FIGS. 7A and 7B illustrate the lumbar two-dimensionally expanding intervertebral fusion device (IBFD) with incorporated BDFT screws.

FIGS. 8A-N illustrate the facet joint calibrated stapling device which staples the inferior articulating facet with the superior articulating facet. Increasing degrees of torque calibration leads to increasing posterior column rigidity, whereas decreasing degrees of calibration leads to increasing flexibility.

FIGS. 8O and 8P illustrate four frontal and perspective views of the facet staple with sequential increasing calibrated positions leading to decreasing increments of joint motion/flexibility.

FIGS. 8Q and 8R illustrate the stapled inferior and superior interarticulating facets by the facet stapler.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

## 1. The Medical Device

Referring to FIGS. 1A-D the above described problem can be solved in the cervical, thoracic and lumbar spine by insertion into the denuded intervertebral disc space an expansile bi-directional fixating transvertebral (BDFT) screw **100** or screws.

FIGS. 1A and 1B illustrate three-dimensional views of the screw **100** in closed and opened positions, respectively, upon its insertion into the intervertebral disc space. The screw **100** is self-drilling. The mechanism of its action entails the turning of a midline drive screw **100**/pinion **104** in a clock-wise direction. This motion is bi-directionally translated via an interposing gear mechanism **105** enabling the simultaneous outward movement of left and right handed screws **102**, **103** in equal and opposite directions. When the drive screw **101** and its accompanying drive screw shaft are turned clock-wise, the driving pinion **104** is likewise rotated. This motion is then translated to the driven gear **105** which is interposed between the drive screw **101** and two opposing self-drilling screws **102**, **103**, one left-handed and the other right-handed. The gear ring **110** has screw coupling slots (Figures 1C and 1D). There are also symmetric keyways **120** and an alignment cylinder **113**. The left handed screw **102** fits into one half of the slots **114**, **115** and the right handed

screw **103** into the other half of the slots. This is clearly illustrated in cross sections of the screw and gear in Figures 1C and 1D, respectively.

FIGS. 1A-C also illustrate the external casing **111** of the device which contains the external screw threads **117**, **118**, against which the left and right handed internal threads interact **116**, **119** with. The casing includes an upper left casing **111b** and an upper right casing **111a**. Below the upper casing **111b** there is a surface serration pattern **118** which is part of a retaining outer shell **112**.

FIGS. 2A-G illustrate Embodiment II of the BDFT **200**. This design differs in two fundamental ways from Embodiment I. Firstly the driving pinion **201** accomplishes bi-directional movement by engaging left and right gears **204**, **205** which simultaneously turn left and right screws **202**, **203** (FIGS. 2C-G). Secondly, in its resting closed position the solid left screw **202** with a narrower diameter is buried within the right wider diameter hollow right screw **203**. This mechanism allows for greater length of screw expansion compared to Embodiment I. Maintaining alignment of screws **202**, **203** and pinions **201** is accomplished by upper casings **211**, outer shells **212**, and left and right screw caps **209a**, **209b** (FIGS. 2A-G).

FIGS. 3A-E illustrate Embodiment III of the BDFT **300**. This is similar to Embodiment II. The major difference is the use of two separate driving screws pinions **301a**, **301b** for the two separate gears **304**, **305**. There is one pinion **301a** for the left screw **302** and another pinion **301b** for the right screw **303**. The left screw **302** engages the left gear **304** which engages the left screw **302**. The right pinion **301a** engages the right gear **305** which engages the right screw **303**. Because the left and right screws **302**, **303** have separate controls and are not linked by one common pinion, separate distinct motions of the screws **302**, **303** can be obtained, as opposed to equal and simultaneous screw movements of Embodiments I and II. Like Embodiment II, Embodiment III consists of a smaller diameter solid left screw **302** which fits into a larger diameter hollow right screw **303**. This can achieve significant screw extension length as in Embodiment II.

FIGS. 4A and 4B illustrates the placement of a single BTFD **1000** screw anteriorly into the intervertebral space between adjacent lumbar vertebrae **400**. FIG. 4A illustrates the closed position. FIG. 4B illustrates the opened position. The illustrations are of a generic BDFT screw **1000** i.e. it applies to Embodiments I-III. Placement of a single BDFT anterior to an intervertebral spacer may be sufficient to prevent interspacer/device extrusion, and enhance spinal stability.

FIG. 4C illustrates the placement of three BTFD screws **1000** in a triangulating manner covering anterior and middle columns. The presence of three screws so situated would prevent subsidence of the screws **1000**. Hence they act as a very open IBFD **1000**. Bone material in the form of DBX or BMP etc. could be inserted into the intervertebral space in between the three screws **1000**. This construct could be used as a supplemental or stand alone intervertebral fusion device. Also illustrated is a cross-section of a vertebral endplate **401** demonstrating the triangular placement of screws **1000** engaging anterior and middle columns.

FIG. 5A illustrates the zero-profile horizontal linear mini-plate **500**. Note the slots for placement of the BDFT screws **1000**. On the anterior surface are slots **504** for the driving pinion screws. FIG. 5B illustrates that the plate **500** consists of upper and lower portions **500a**, **500b** which articulate with each other via interdigitation of alignment pins **502** and recesses **503**. FIG. 5C illustrates the integration of the BDFT

screws **1000** into the mini-plate **500**. FIG. **5D** illustrates the placement of the plate-BDFT construct into the intervertebral space. After the construct is placed into the intervertebral space, the screws **1000** are expanded bi-directionally in order to engage the vertebral bodies **400**. This construct can be surgically placed via anterior or posterior approaches.

FIGS. **6A-G** illustrate a zero-profile triangular mini-plate **600**. In this embodiment the plate encompasses all three triangularly situated BDFT screws **1000**. The posteriorly placed BDFT screw **1000** is expanded with a centrally placed drive screw/pinion with a long stem which extends posteriorly.

As illustrated in FIGS. **6H** and **6I** this embodiment **600'** could be made hollow to accommodate the packing of bone material and can actually function as a combined three-in-one fusion cage/plate/BDFT screw construct. Note that this plate embodiment **600'** also has upper and lower components similar to **600a**, **600b** (FIGS. **6A-C**). Preferably, plates **600'a** and **600'b**, however, include slots **610** for placement of bone material. FIGS. **6D-F** illustrate the incorporation of the BDFT screws **1000** into the triangular mini-plate **600**. FIG. **6G** illustrates the positioning of the triangular mini-plate **600** with incorporated expanded screws **1000** into adjacent vertebral bodies **400**.

FIGS. **7A** and **7B** illustrate a boomerang shaped thoracolumbar IUD **700** with ratchetable titanium or PEEK shells **710**, **711** which can expand geometrically in two dimensions. FIG. **7A** illustrates the BDFT screws **701**, **702**, **703** in partially expanded position. FIG. **7B** illustrates the BDFT screws **701**, **702**, **703** in fully expanded position. The outer shells **710**, **711** themselves when ratcheted width-wise have titanium or PEEK spikes **713** inserting themselves into and purchasing the endplates **401**, thus securing permanent integration into the vertebral endplates **401**. The outer shell **710**, **711** surfaces can be treated with hydroxyapatite to facilitate bone incorporation. These shells are fully described in our previous PCT Patent Application PCT/US2005/016493, filed May 11, 2005.

The IBFD device **700** has four shells and a plurality of spikes **713**. The height can be modified by adjusting four fixed height screws **712**. Sequential turning of these screws **712** leads to height expansion between the rostral and caudal shells **710**, **711** by widening the distance between their superior and inferior shells **710a**, **710b**. Once the IBFD **700** is properly positioned in the interspace the spikes **713** engage and purchase the vertebral endplates **401**. The three incorporated BDFT screws **701**, **702**, **703** are turned clockwise leading to anterior and middle column engagement of the vertebral bodies **400** above and below the disc space. The BDFT screws **701**, **702**, **703** are strategically placed; one on each side of the superior shell **710a** and one centrally on the inferior shell **710b**. This captures anterior and middle columns of the vertebral column increasing spinal stability. After the BDFT screws **701**, **702**, **703** are successfully purchased within the vertebral bodies **400**, bone fusion substances are placed/packed or poured, into the inner aspects of the device **700** and its surrounding intervertebral space.

An alternative thoracolumbar IBFD embodiment not illustrated expands in two dimensions and has the additional feature of an incorporated expansile porous elastometric sheath molded to the inner aspects of the titanium shells. Within the balloon is a port with or without an attached microsilastic catheter through which bone fusion material can be injected. Supplemental bone fusion material can be added to the surrounding area of the device to further enhance fusion. Furthermore for certain patients where

applicable, a rapid fusion can be effected by the instillation of methyl-methacrylate. A similar embodiment for a cervical IBFD is based on our previously described two-dimensional cervical expansion device in PCT Patent Application PCT/US2005/016493, filed May 11, 2005.

The engagement of the IBFD shell spikes **713** and the BDFT screws **701**, **702**, **703** into the vertebral bodies **400** above and below the device would obviate the need for any kind of anterior plating system.

FIGS. **8A-N** illustrate a calibrated facet joint stapler **800** which can be used to staple the thoracolumbar inferior and superior articulating facets with incremental torque degrees. Incrementally increasing the degrees of calibration modulates the extent of facet joint flexibility. This can be used as an option to provide posterior column support and can be used in an open, or percutaneous, endoscopic or fluoroscopic approach. Depending on the operative approach and the individual patient, facet stapling can be performed unilaterally or bilaterally.

The stapling device **800** consists of two orthogonally placed levers **801a**, **801b** which open and close over a triangular fulcrum **810**. The edges of the levers **801a**, **801b** are attached to left and right staple cartridges **802a**, **802b**. Each cartridge **802a**, **802b** holds a titanium staple **803a**, **803b** in its slots. FIG. **8A** illustrates an exploded view of the joint stapler **800** and its essential components. FIGS. **8B** and **8C** illustrate the stapler **800** in open position. FIGS. **8D** and **8E** illustrate the stapling device **800** and staples **803a**, **803b** in closed position. FIGS. **8F** and **8G** illustrate the stapling device **800** and **803a**, **803b** staples in closed, staple released, position. FIG. **8H-J** illustrate the components of the lever **801a**, **801b** which includes the grip handle **815**, arm **816**, rounded wedge **817** and fulcrum screw hole **818**. FIGS. **8K** and **8L** illustrate the details of the cartridge **802a**, **802b** including its slot for the fulcrum **810** and staples **803a**, **803b**. FIGS. **8M** and **8N** illustrate the details of the fulcrum **810** which include right and left cartridge slots **820a**, **820b** and fulcrum screw **812** and mating alignments. Most importantly it has four incremental calibration slots for incremental degrees of facet joint stapling. Also illustrated are spring anchors **814**.

FIGS. **8O** and **8P** illustrate frontal and perspective views, respectively of the two opposing titanium facet staples **803a**, **803b**. Each staple **803a**, **803b** consists of a bracket **836**, a nail **837** and an alignment pin **835**. Illustrated are four sequential calibrated tightening positions of the opposing staples **803a**, **803b**. Increasing the calibrated opposition of the two staples **803a**, **803b** leads to increasing opposition of the facet joints and hence increasing rigidity, and decreasing flexibility. Each staple **803a**, **803b** has two alignment recesses **838**. The opposition of these staples **803a**, **803b** around the facet joint forms a rectangular facet joint enclosure.

FIGS. **8Q** and **8R** illustrate the stapled inferior and superior articulating facets **851**, **852**. FIG. **8R** illustrates the application of the facet stapler **800** on the facets **851**, **852** introducing the facet staple **803**. The facet staple is used to join the exterior articulating facet **851** and the interior articulating facet **852**.

## 2. The Surgical Method

The surgical steps necessary to practice the present invention will now be described.

The posterior lumbar spine implantation of the BDFT screws **1000**, plate and IBFD can be implanted via a previously described posterior lumbar interbody fusion procedure (PLIF) or posterior transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion procedure (TLIF). The procedure can be performed



open, microscopic, closed, tubular or endoscopic. Fluoroscopic guidance can be used with any of these procedures.

After the adequate induction of anesthesia, the patient is placed in the prone position.

A midline incision is made for a PLIF, and one or two parallel paramedian incisions or a midline incision is made for a TLIF. For the PLIF a unilateral or bilateral facet sparing hemi-laminotomy is created to introduce the BDFT screws **1000**, plates or IBFD into the disc space after it is adequately prepared. For the TLIF procedure, after a unilateral dissection and drilling of the inferior articulating surface and the medial superior articulating facet, the far lateral disc space is entered and a circumferential discectomy is performed. The disc space is prepared and the endplates exposed.

There are then multiple embodiments to choose from for an intervertebral body fusion. With the first and simplest choice, under direct or endoscopic guidance one BDFT screw **1000** or three BDFT screws **1000** can be placed in a triangulating manner encompassing the anterior and middle vertebral columns (FIGS. 4A-C). The screws **1000** are then maximally expanded purchasing and uniting the vertebral bodies above and below the disc space. Bone material or an alternative intervertebral fusion device can then be packed into the disc space. The casing of the screws **1000** prevents subsidence of the vertebral bodies. An additional option in the posterior lumbar spine is to place a mini-plate dorsally underneath the thecal sac to prevent bone migration into the nerves. In addition via a TLIF approach a triangular mini-plate/cage construct can be inserted, and then the BDFT screws **1000** maximally expanded. This is a very simple and practical supplemental or stand-alone intervertebral fusion device.

Using an alternative IBFD option, utilizing specialized forceps the two-dimensional expanding thoracolumbar expandable IBFD **700** (FIGS. 7A and 7B) is introduced into the disc space. The final dimension expansion in all embodiments leads to purchasing of the spikes into the vertebral endplates. The BDFT screws **1000** are then driven directly into rostral and caudal vertebral bodies across the intervertebral space. Then bone fusion material; autologous, allograft, bone matrix protein, BMP, rh-BMP, paste or other similar currently available or specially designed osteoconductive substances can be placed into the device and the surrounding intervertebral space. In embodiments with an incorporated viscoelastic balloon sheath, prior to engaging the screws the expandable elastometric sheath/balloon is filled with bone fusion material as mentioned above. If desirable, further material, can be placed outside its confines within the intervertebral space.

If further posterior column stability or rigidity is required, unilateral or bilateral, single level or multiple level facet screw stapling can be performed under open, microscopic fluoroscopic or endoscopic vision. Radiographic confirmation of staple position is obtained. Calibrated stapling leads to opposition of the facet joints with incremental degrees of joint opposition. This can lead to variable degrees of posterior column rigidity and/or flexibility.

The anterior lumbar spine implantation of solitary BDFT screw(s) **1000**, BDFT screws incorporated into a horizontal linear or triangular mini-plate, or the IBFD/BDFT screw embodiment for L4/5 and L5/S1 interspaces can be performed on the supine anesthetized patient via previously described open microscopic or endoscopic techniques. Once the disc space is exposed and discectomy and space preparation is performed, placement of one, two or three BDFT screws **1000** with or without a ventral mini-plate, or placement of two dimensionally expanding IBFD with or

without expansile elastometric sheaths and their incorporation is identical to that performed for the posterior approach.

The posterior placement of the BDFT screws **1000** alone or combined with mini-plates or with IBFD embodiments into the thoracic spine can be performed via previously described transpedicular approaches; open or endoscopic. The anterior placement of the IBFD **700** into the thoracic spine can be accomplished via a trans-thoracic approach. Once disc space exposure is obtained via either approach, all of the above mentioned embodiments can be inserted. Engagement of the devices is identical to what was mentioned above.

For anterior placement of the cervical embodiments of the BDFT screw(s) **1000** with or without the horizontal linear or triangular cervical mini-plate, and the IBFD embodiments the anterior spine is exposed in the anesthetized patient as previously described for anterior cervical discectomies. Once the disc space is identified, discectomy is performed and the disc space prepared. Implantation and engagement of all devices is identical to that described for the anterior lumbar and thoracic spines.

The present invention may provide an effective and safe technique that overcomes the problems associated with current transpedicular-based thoracic and lumbar fusion technology, and with current vertical cervical plating technology, and for many degenerative stable and unstable spine diseases, and could replace many pedicle screw-based and anterior vertical-plate based instrumentation in many but not all degenerative spinal conditions. Calibrated facet joint screw staples can facilitate flexible fusions and could replace current static trans-facet screws.

To our knowledge there has not been any other previously described bidirectional screw for use in the spine, other joints, or for any commercial or carpentry application. The bi-directional screw **1000** described herein may indeed have applications in general commercial, industrial and carpentry industries. To our knowledge the description of zero to subzero profile anterior or posterior horizontal spinal plates which traverse the diameter of the disc space has not been previously described. To our knowledge an intervertebral three-in-one construct combining bone cage, plate and screws has not been previously reported. To our knowledge calibrated facet joint staples **803a**, **803b** have not been previously described.

We claim:

1. An artificial expansile spinal implant comprising first and second shells and an expansion mechanism positioned between the first and second shells and configured to expand the artificial expansile spinal implant, wherein the expansion mechanism comprises first and second threaded bodies and a rotatable tool engagement portion configured to rotate the first threaded body with respect to the second threaded body to drive expansion between the first shell and the second shell in response to turning the rotatable tool engagement portion, wherein the artificial expansile spinal implant is configured to be introduced into an intervertebral space with the first and second shells engaging opposing vertebral bodies when inserted into the intervertebral space and wherein the tool engagement portion is positioned and configured to be engaged by a tool extending along a direction of insertion for rotating the rotatable tool engagement portion, wherein each of the first and second shells comprises a first set of engagement features extending from the first and second shells that are configured for engaging vertebral endplates of the opposing vertebral bodies to hold the artificial expansile spinal implant in place and a second set of engagement features extending from the first and

11

second shells that are configured for engaging the vertebral endplates of the opposing vertebral bodies to hold the artificial expansile spinal implant in place, wherein the second set of engagement features are larger than the first set of engagement features, extend further than the first set of engagement features, and have substantially tapered tips configured for piercing the vertebral endplates when introduced into the intervertebral space and expanded.

2. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the second set of engagement features extend from the first and second shells in directions substantially perpendicular to the first and second shells.

3. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 2, wherein the first shell, the second shell, and the first set of engagement features extending from both the first and second shells comprise PEEK (poly-ether-ketone).

4. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 3, and further comprising means for expanding geometrically in a second direction.

5. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the artificial expansile spinal implant can expand geometrically in two dimensions.

6. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein each of the first and second shells define holes into which the second set of engagement features at least partially extend.

7. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein each of the first and second shells define holes into which the second set of engagement features at least partially extend and the second set of engagement features extend from the holes of the first and second shells in directions substantially perpendicular to the first and second shells and wherein the first set of engagement features are more numerous than the second set of engagement features.

8. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the first shell, the second shell, and the first set of engagement features extending from both the first and second shells comprise PEEK (poly-ether-ketone), wherein each of the first and second shells define screw holes, and wherein the second set of engagement features comprise screw members extendable through the holes at least partially into the vertebral endplates.

9. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the artificial expansile spinal implant can be adjusted in at least two directions in order to facilitate bone fusion of two adjacent segments.

10. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the second set of engagement features comprise at least first and second screw members configured to be extended from the first shell into one of the vertebral endplates and at least third and fourth screw members configured to be extended from the second shell into another of the vertebral endplates.

11. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, and further comprising means for expanding geometrically in a second direction.

12

12. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the first shell, the second shell, and the first set of engagement features extending from both the first and second shells comprise PEEK (poly-ether-ketone).

13. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the first and second shells define screw holes.

14. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 13, wherein the second set of engagement features comprise threaded screws extending through the screw holes.

15. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the second set of engagement features comprise rotatable threaded screws.

16. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the first shell comprises a first plurality of screw holes and the second shell comprises a second plurality of screw holes.

17. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, and further comprising means for placement of bone material.

18. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the expansion mechanism comprises a drive screw.

19. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the expansion mechanism comprises a rotatable gear.

20. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the expansion mechanism comprises a pinion.

21. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 1, wherein the first shell comprises a first vertebral body facing surface that faces a first direction, wherein the second shell comprises a second vertebral body facing surface that faces a second direction that is substantially opposite of the first direction, wherein the first and second shells comprise rostral and caudal shells, and wherein the rostral and caudal shells are movable with respect to one another such that sequential turning of the expansion mechanism leads to height expansion between the rostral and caudal shells.

22. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 21, wherein the first shell curves substantially continuously around a first perimeter of the first shell and the second shell curves substantially continuously around a second perimeter of the second shell.

23. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 21, wherein the first shell curves substantially continuously around a first perimeter of the first shell such that the first shell forms a first boomerang shape and wherein the second shell curves substantially continuously around a second perimeter of the second shell to form a second boomerang shape.

24. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 21, wherein the first shell comprises a first perimeter shaped to correspond to a shape of a caudal vertebral body and the second shell that is movable with respect to the first shell comprises a second perimeter shaped to correspond to a shape of a rostral vertebral body.

25. The artificial expansile spinal implant of claim 21, and further comprising an alignment cylinder.

\* \* \* \* \*